College of Business Administration UTF Evaluation Form

F	aculty Name:								
Т	Title/Designation:								
A	Appointment Period:								
Р	urpose of Evaluati	on:							
I. I	nstruction								
A. SOS scores (compare to appropriate benchmarks such as department and college averages and scores of other faculty teaching identical course; trends of scores should also be considered)									
	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Needs Improvement	t Unsatisfactory				
	Comments:								
	B. Grade Distribucourse level, tren	ds over time, a	er appropriate bench and DEW rates) eds Attention	marks such as other sections	s of the same course,				
	Comments:	Nec	eds Accention						
	C. Written Evalua	ations (admir	nistered with SOS)						
	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory				
		0000	Jacistactor y	riceds improvement	orisacistactor y				

D.	Course Materials (including syllabi, assignments, exams, final, etc.)							
	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory			
	Comments:							
Ε.	Office/Contand appointme		ding appropriate nun	nber of office/contact hours	given teaching load			
	Satisfacto		atisfactory					
	Comments:							
F.	Peer Evaluatevaluations)	t ions (if applica	ble, department cha	irs select from FA and/or U	ΓF faculty to perform			
	N/A	Excellent	Good Satisfa	ctory Needs Improver	nent Unsatisfactory			
	Comments:							
II. A	attending Stud		nmunity meetings, u	ng you have engaged in: closing CBA assurance of learni				
	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory			
	Comment		,	•	•			
	Comment	.3.						

III. AACSB Qualifications

A. Is c	ualified by AACSB S	Standards?	Yes	(AACSB Clas	s:)	No
B. Me	ets Participating AA	ACSB Standard?		Yes	No			
Sei Eng Dir Vo Pa Pa De	neck all Participating Andrew on a department gage in academic advagage in research active cet extracurricular atte in department matricipate in profession recipate in assessment welop course(s) ther (please specify)	, college, or universities activities tters nal development	ersity	committee	riew)			
IV. Other	Evidence:							
V. Overal Overall Co	l Evaluation: omments:	Favorable	Ne	eds Improvem	ent	Unfavorable		
Evaluator:					Date:			